1. **Roll Call** - Chairman Turner called the regular meeting to order at 11:02 a.m., and Mrs. Warrior announced the Roll Call.

**Members Present:**
Chairman, James Turner; Vice-Chairman, David Breed; Secretary, Breniss O’Neal; Herb Fritz; Charles Gilmore; Rex Ball; Chip Ard; Dusty Peck; Bob Winchester; & Karen Rogers;

**Member(s) Absent:**
Barbara Imel Smallwood; Mary Lee Townsend; Bill Andoe & Jack Hodgson;

**Others Present:**
Amanda DeCort, Fannie Warrior, Kurt Ackermann, Ed Sharrer, Chip Atkins, David Bergmann, Mark Radzinski, Susan McKee, Dan Wilson, Christopher Harb, Art Lohse, Mike Skidmore, H. Devin Sartor, Neal Kennedy, Mark Mobbs, Ron Scott, Sally Davies & Kevin Hall.

2. **Approval of Meeting Minutes: Regular Meeting Minutes from October 12, 2006**

Chairman Turner asked if there was a motion on the floor to approve the meeting minutes from last month.
Mr. Ball made a motion to approve the Regular Meeting Minutes from October 12, 2006, as presented. Mr. Peck seconded.

**Roll Call Vote to Approve Regular Meeting Minutes from October 12, 2006:**
Chairman Turner – Aye;  
Vice-Chairman Breed – Aye;  
Secretary O’Neal – Abstain;  
Herb Fritz – Aye;  
Chip Ard – Abstain;  
Charles Gilmore – Aye;  
Rex Ball – Aye;  
Dusty Peck – Aye;  
Bob Winchester – Aye; &  
Karen Rogers – Was not present during this vote.  
The motion was Approved by Majority by members present and voting.

Chairman Turner announced that there were three (3) items on the agenda that the Commission will not address. He stated that there was a problem getting the revised agenda filed at the City Clerk’s Office within its required posting period.

Chairman Turner stated that the commission will not address under Chair Reports of the agenda:
○ Discussion of Bell’s Amusement Park as a local landmark;

Under Staff Reports:
○ SHPO Annual Workshops – December 6-8 in OKC; &

Under New Business:
○ Under the Open Meeting Act, this agenda item is authorized only for matters not known about or which could not have been reasonably foreseen prior to the time of posting the agenda or any revised agenda.

Chairman Turner stated that he has been informed by staff that COA applicant, agenda item #7, Bill Butts at 2132 E. 19th Street in Yorktown has withdrawn his application for review.

Chairman Turner announced that Ms. DeCort has graciously compiled copies of all the historic district design guidelines in one booklet; and that these copies are for use at the COA Subcommittee and Tulsa Preservation Commission meetings but are not to be removed from the room.

3. Unfinished Business
A. Historic Preservation Committee Report

i. Announcement of Conflict of Interest

Chairman Turner asked the commission if anyone had a conflict of interest with any of the Certificates of Appropriateness (COA) Requests that would be brought before the board for review. Members were instructed that the commissioner’s name(s) would not be called when voting on the particular Certificate or Certificates of Appropriateness that he/she had a conflict of interest with. No one responded to having a conflict.

ii. Applications for Certificate of Appropriateness

Chairman Turner briefly informed the COA applicants of the COA processing procedures of how their proposals would be reviewed by the Tulsa Preservation Commission for a final determination.

1. 1601 S. St. Louis, Tulsa, OK 74120 (Swan Lake)
   Applicant: Art Lohse
   Request: Construct 26’X 8’ 6” covered porch on rear of house, visible from the street.
   Subcommittee Complete Application Date: 11-7-06

Ms. DeCort presented Art Lohse’s Certificate of Appropriateness application to the commission. Photographs and drawings were available for review and a slide presentation was shown of the historic home in Swan Lake.

Ms. DeCort stated that Mr. Lohse plans to construct a 26’ X 8’ 6” covered porch on the rear of the house that is visible from the street.

Ms. DeCort read the appropriate design guidelines for this proposal on Additions to Existing Structures for the Swan Lake District.

Chairman Turner asked Vice-Chairman Breed to please announce the COA Subcommittee’s recommendation(s) for Mr. Lohse’s proposal. Vice-Chairman Breed stated that the COA Subcommittee considered Mr. Lohse’s application to be complete. He stated that the subcommittee recommended a unanimous vote at the November 7, 2006 meeting to approve this application.

Vice-Chairman Breed stated that the Subcommittee’s decision to recommend approval of this proposal was based on the appropriate design guidelines for Additions in the Yorktown district; and that he would like to move for an approval of Mr. Lohse’s application. Ms. Townsend seconded.

Chairman Turner opened the floor to the commission for discussion. After a brief discussion took place, he asked Mrs. Warrior to please call roll.

Roll Call Vote to Approve Mr. Lohse’s application:
Chairman Turner – Aye;  
Vice-Chairman Breed – Aye;  
Secretary O’Neal – Aye;  
Herb Fritz – Aye;  
Chip Ard – Aye;  
Charles Gilmore – Aye;  
Rex Ball – Aye;  
Dusty Peck – Aye;  
Bob Winchester – Aye; &  
Karen Rogers – Was not present during this vote.  
The motion was **Approved Unanimously by members present and voting.**

*The Tulsa Preservation Commission Approved Art Lohse’s proposal based on Section VIIIB, General Requirements, B.1.0.1, & B.1.0.2; B.1.0.3 & B.1.0.5; Building Materials, B.1.2.1 & B.1.2.2 and Roofs, B1.3.2 for Additions to Existing Structures for the Swan Lake Historic District.*

2.  **1524 E. 20th Street, Tulsa, OK  74120** (Swan Lake)  
Applicant: Ron Scott  
Request:  (Part I of III)  
Remove driveway on east side of lot and install new concrete block driveway on the west side of the lot.  
Subcommittee Complete Application Date:  11-7-2006

Ms. DeCort presented Part I of Ron Scott’s Certificate of Appropriateness application to the commission. Photographs and drawings were available for review and a slide presentation was shown of the historic home in Swan Lake.

Ms. DeCort stated that Mr. Scott plans to remove the driveway on the east side of lot and install new concrete block driveway on the west side of the lot. She stated that the existing shared driveway will be removed; and that the existing garage will be utilized as an office. She added that the new concrete block driveway on the west side of the property will have a carport.

Ms. DeCort read the appropriate design guidelines for this proposal on Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings for the Swan Lake District.

Chairman Turner asked Vice-Chairman Breed to please announce the COA Subcommittee’s recommendation(s) for Part I of Mr. Scott’s proposal. Vice-Chairman Breed stated that the COA Subcommittee considered Part I of Mr. Scott’s application to be complete. He stated that the subcommittee recommended a vote by majority at the November 7, 2006 meeting to approve Part I of this application.
Vice-Chairman Breed stated that the Subcommittee’s decision to recommend approval of Part I of this proposal was based on the appropriate design guidelines for Rehabilitation in the Swan Lake district; and that he would like to move for an approval of Part I of Mr. Scott’s application. Secretary O’Neal seconded.

Chip Atkins, President of the Swan Lake Association stated that there were no drive ways in Swan Lake with paving stone. He stated that he believes that Mr. Scott is not within the guidelines. Mr. Atkins indicated that the Tulsa Preservation Commission was allowing the introduction of a new concrete into this neighborhood that has never been there before.

Chairman Turner opened the floor to the commission for discussion. After a brief discussion took place, he asked Mrs. Warrior to please call roll.

**Roll Call Vote to Approve Part I of Mr. Scott’s application:**
Chairman Turner – Aye; 
Vice-Chairman Breed – Aye; 
Secretary O’Neal – Aye; 
Herb Fritz – Aye; 
Chip Ard – Aye; 
Charles Gilmore – Aye; 
Rex Ball – Aye; 
Dusty Peck – Nay; 
Bob Winchester – Aye; & 
Karen Rogers – Was not present during this vote.
The motion was **Approved by Majority by members present and voting.**

**The Tulsa Preservation Commission Approved Part I of Mr. Scott’s proposal based on Section VIIIA, General Requirements, A.1.0.3, for Rehabilitation of Existing Structures for the Swan Lake Historic District.**

2. **1524 E. 20th Street, Tulsa, OK 74120** (Swan Lake)  
Applicant: Ron Scott  
Request: (**Part II of III**)  
Install new stacked stone retaining wall along the front sidewalk and sides of the new driveway.  
Subcommittee Complete Application Date: 11-7-2006

Ms. DeCort presented Part II of Ron Scott’s Certificate of Appropriateness application to the commission. Photographs and drawings were available for review and a slide presentation was shown of the historic home in Swan Lake.

Ms. DeCort stated that Mr. Scott plans to install a new stacked stone retaining wall along the front sidewalk and sides of the new driveway.
Ms. DeCort read the appropriate design guidelines for this proposal on Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings for the Swan Lake District.

Chairman Turner asked Vice-Chairman Breed to please announce the COA Subcommittee’s recommendation(s) for Part II of Mr. Scott’s proposal. Vice-Chairman Breed stated that the COA Subcommittee considered Part II of Mr. Scott’s application to be complete. He stated that the subcommittee recommended a vote by majority at the November 7, 2006 meeting to approve Part II of this application.

Vice-Chairman Breed stated that the Subcommittee’s decision to recommend approval of Part II of this proposal was based on the appropriate design guidelines for Rehabilitation in the Swan Lake district; and that he would like to move for an approval of Part II of Mr. Scott’s application. Secretary O’Neal seconded.

Chairman Turner opened the floor to the commission for discussion. After a brief discussion took place, he asked Mrs. Warrior to please call roll.

**Roll Call Vote to Approve Part II of Mr. Scott’s application:**
Chairman Turner – Aye;
Vice-Chairman Breed – Aye;
Secretary O’Neal – Aye;
Herb Fritz – Aye;
Chip Ard – Aye;
Charles Gilmore – Aye;
Rex Ball – Aye;
Dusty Peck – Aye;
Bob Winchester – Aye; &
Karen Rogers – Was not present during this vote.
The motion was Approved Unanimously by members present and voting.

**The Tulsa Preservation Commission Approved Part II of Mr. Scott’s proposal based on Section VIII A, General Requirements, A.1.0.3, for Rehabilitation of Existing Structures and Section VIIIC, New Construction, C.1.1.5 for the Swan Lake Historic District.**

2. **1524 E. 20th Street, Tulsa, OK 74120**
   (Swan Lake)
   Applicant: Ron Scott
   Request: (Part III of III)
   Install new stone steps from the front sidewalk to the existing front porch.
   Subcommittee Complete Application Date: 11-7-2006

Ms. DeCort presented Part III of Ron Scott’s Certificate of Appropriateness application to the commission. Photographs and drawings were available.
for review and a slide presentation was shown of the historic home in Swan Lake.

Ms. DeCort stated that Mr. Scott plans to install new stone steps from the front sidewalk to the existing front porch.

Ms. DeCort read the appropriate design guidelines for this proposal on Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings for the Swan Lake District.

Chairman Turner asked Vice-Chairman Breed to please announce the COA Subcommittee’s recommendation(s) for Part III of Mr. Scott’s proposal. Vice-Chairman Breed stated that the COA Subcommittee did not vote on Part III of Mr. Scott’s application due to lack of information; and forwarded to the TPC without a recommendation.

Chip Atkins stated that the TPC will be introducing these new stone steps to the neighborhood; and that he believes that Mr. Scott should go back to the original bricks.

Mr. Mark Radzinski stated that he see some inconsistencies with the ruling by the TPC. Chairman Turner explained to Mr. Radzinski why Mr. Scott’s proposal was a little different from the other projects that the TPC has reviewed and ruled on in the past, and said that the TPC takes each case separately.

Chairman Turner opened the floor to the commission for discussion.
After a brief discussion took place, Mr. Ball made a motion to approve Part III of Mr. Scott’s application with the condition that:

◊ Mr. Scott use mortar; and that he remove the existing front steps.

Chairman Turner asked Mrs. Warrior to please call roll.

**Roll Call Vote to Approve Part III of Mr. Scott’s application w/conditions:**
Chairman Turner – Aye;
Vice-Chairman Breed – Aye;
Secretary O’Neal – Aye;
Herb Fritz – Aye;
Chip Ard – Aye;
Charles Gilmore – Aye;
Rex Ball – Aye;
Dusty Peck – Aye;
Bob Winchester – Aye; &
Karen Rogers – Was not present during this vote.
The motion was **Approved Unanimously by members present and voting.**
The Tulsa Preservation Commission Approved Part III of Mr. Scott’s proposal based on Section VIIIA, General Requirements, A.1.0.3, for Rehabilitation of Existing Structures and Section VIIIC, New Construction, C.1.1.5 for the Swan Lake Historic District.

3. 711 N. Denver Avenue (Brady Heights)
Applicant: David Bergmann
Request:
I. Replace non-original window on the north bump-out addition with 3x3 vinyl window to accommodate kitchen countertop. New window will be boxed out and trimmed with capital to match existing windows. New window will be one-over-one.

II. Remove small, non-original aluminum window on west face of south bump-out addition. Window does not meet code for egress from a bedroom. Opening will be covered with original wooden lap siding.

III. Replace non-original aluminum window on the south face of the south bump-out addition with a 3x5 vinyl one-over-one window. Window will meet egress code and will be minimally visible from the street.

IV. Replace existing slab front doors with wood paneled doors. Door openings will be trimmed out with capitals to match window trim.

V. Replace existing non-original front porch railings and newel post, and add guard rail on south side of front porch steps.

VI. Replace rear portion of roof, minimally visible from the street.
Subcommittee Complete Application Date: 11-7-06

Mr. Sharrer presented Parts I through VI of David Bergmann’s Certificate of Appropriateness application to the commission. Photographs and drawings were available for review and a slide presentation was shown of the historic home in Brady Heights.

Mr. Sharrer read the appropriate design guidelines for this proposal on Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings for the Swan Lake District.

Chairman Turner asked Vice-Chairman Breed to please announce the COA Subcommittee’s recommendation(s) for all six parts of Mr. Bergmann’s
application. Vice-Chairman Breed stated that in the case of Mr. Bergmann’s application, the proposed projects(s) required (due to timing and other considerations) that it be considered more as a conceptual design review than as specific projects to be considered for recommendations to approve or deny. The result of the discussion and recommended actions has been that the specific project(s) placed before the TPC have been changed, in parts, from what was considered by the COA Subcommittee.

Chairman Turner opened the floor to the commission for discussion. After a discussion took place over all six parts of this application, Mr. Gilmore made a motion to approve all six (6) parts of Mr. Bergmann’s application.

**Roll Call Vote to Approve Parts I through VI of Mr. Bergmann’s application:**
Chairman Turner – Aye;
Vice-Chairman Breed – Aye;
Secretary O’Neal – Aye;
Herb Fritz – Aye;
Chip Ard – Aye;
Charles Gilmore – Aye;
Rex Ball – Aye;
Dusty Peck – Aye;
Bob Winchester – Aye; &
Karen Rogers – Aye.
The motion was Approved Unanimously by members present and voting.

**The Tulsa Preservation Commission Approved Parts I through VI of Mr. Bergmann’s proposal based on Windows & Doors, Preferred Options, #1 & #2; Windows & Doors, Second Preference, #1 through #4; Porches, Second Preference, #1; Porches, Preferred Option, #1; & Roofs, Second Options, #1 & 2 for Restoring Existing Structures for the Brady Heights Historic District.**

Chairman Turner moved to agenda item #6, Dan Wilson at 217 E. 18th Street in North Maple Ridge due to the absences of COA applicants #4 and #5. Agenda items #4 and #5 were not heard and therefore were approved as submitted as of December 7, 2006.

**4. 2127 E. 19th Street** (Yorktown)
Applicants: Nancy Slusher/Bill Powers
Request:
I. Install a new front retaining wall; &
II. Replace driveway with similar materials but widen by 2 feet.
Subcommittee Complete Application Date: 11-7-06
5. **1811 E. 17th Street** (Yorktown)  
Applicant: Shannon Walker/Metro Lofts  
Request: Remove non-original metal awnings around porch and over windows, and remove screens around front porch.  
Subcommittee Complete Application Date: 11-7-06

6. **217 E. 18th Street** (North Maple Ridge)  
Applicant: Dan Wilson  
Request: Replace existing non-original metal panel door with a custom wooden door, to match original beveled-glass sidelights.  
Subcommittee Complete Application Date: 11-7-06

Mr. Sharrer presented Dan Wilson’s Certificate of Appropriateness application to the commission. Photographs and drawings were available for review and a slide presentation was shown of the historic home in North Maple Ridge.

Mr. Sharrer stated that Mr. Wilson plans to replace the existing non-original metal panel door with a custom wooden door to match the original beveled-glass sidelights.

Mr. Sharrer read the appropriate design guidelines for this proposal on Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings for the North Maple Ridge District.

Chairman Turner asked Vice-Chairman Breed to please announce the COA Subcommittee’s recommendation(s) for Mr. Wilson’s proposal.

Vice-Chairman Breed stated that the Subcommittee did not review Mr. Wilson’s application at the November 7, 2006 COA Subcommittee meeting; although his application had been reviewed previously.

Chairman Turner opened the floor to the commission for discussion. After a brief discussion took place, Mr. Ball made a motion to approve Mr. Wilson’s application. Mr. Peck seconded.

Chairman Turner asked Mrs. Warrior to please call roll.

**Roll Call Vote to Approve Mr. Wilson’s application:**  
Chairman Turner – Aye;
Vice-Chairman Breed – Aye;
Secretary O’Neal – Aye;
Herb Fritz – Aye;
Chip Ard – Aye;
Charles Gilmore – Aye;
Rex Ball – Aye;
Dusty Peck – Aye;
Bob Winchester – Aye; &
Karen Rogers – Was not present during this vote.
The motion was **Approved Unanimously by members present and voting.**

*The Tulsa Preservation Commission Approved Dan Wilson’s proposal based on Section VIIA, General Requirements, A.1.0.1, A.1.0.2, & A.1.03; & Windows & Doors, A.1.2.3 for Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings for the North Maple Ridge District.*

The Commission complimented Mr. Wilson on the before and after project.

8. **2136 E. 17th Place** (Yorktown)
   Applicant: Mike Skidmore
   Request:
   I. Construct a second-story addition in a side-gable roof configuration with front and rear shed dormers. Relocate existing, original brick porch column on west end of porch to accommodate a full-width porch, consistent in style with two-story Craftsman side-gabled houses. The existing, original porch column on east side of porch will be repaired and remain in its original location;

   II. Install new six-over-one, single-hung windows to replace the deteriorated wood windows and match windows on addition;

   III. Install new 12’ wide driveway on the west side of the lot where the existing 8’ deteriorated driveway is located;

   IV. Replace heavily altered front door and sidelights with Craftsman-style wood door with sidelights, and Craftsman-style porch light fixtures flanking each side;
V. Remove existing layers of vinyl and asbestos siding and install new Masonite siding in random shake pattern on all walls of house; &

VI. Rebuild concrete block stem wall and add new rock veneer with a wooden drip mold cap.

Subcommittee Complete Application Date: 11-7-06

Mr. Sharrer presented Parts I through VI of Mike Skidmore’s Certificate of Appropriateness application to the commission. Photographs and drawings were available for review and a slide presentation was shown of the historic home in Yorktown.

Mr. Sharrer read the appropriate design guidelines for this proposal for Additions & Rehabilitation of Existing Residential Structures for the Yorktown District.

Chairman Turner asked Vice-Chairman Breed to please announce the COA Subcommittee’s recommendation(s) for all six parts of Mr. Skidmore’s application. Vice-Chairman Breed stated that in the case of Mr. Skidmore’s application, the proposed projects(s) required (due to timing and other considerations) that it be considered more as a conceptual design review than as specific projects to be considered for recommendations to approve or deny. The result of the discussion and recommended actions has been that the specific project(s) placed before the TPC have been changed, in parts, from what was considered by the COA Subcommittee.

Chairman Turner opened the floor to the commission for discussion. The Commission believes that Mr. Skidmore’s proposal should be considered as a New Construction project instead of Rehabilitation due to the extent of the changes being made; and that the design guidelines under Rehabilitation for the Yorktown district does not apply.

Mr. Atkins stated that he believes that Mr. Skidmore is in violation due to being issued a demolition permit on October 17, 2006; and then submitted for review, a COA application on October 24, 2006 to the COA Subcommittee. Mr. Atkins believes that Mr. Skidmore should have applied for a COA application before getting the demolition permit.

Susan McKee, Vice-President of the Yorktown Neighborhood Association stated that she believes that they need time to look into this proposal regarding Rehabilitation verses New Construction.

After further discussion, Mr. Ball made a motion that the TPC should rule this proposal from Rehabilitation to New Construction, including all six (6) parts of Mr. Skidmore’s application. Mr. Gilmore seconded.
Roll Call Vote to rule Mr. Skidmore’s application from Rehabilitation to New Construction:
Chairman Turner – Aye;
Vice-Chairman Breed – Nay;
Secretary O’Neal – Aye;
Herb Fritz – Nay;
Chip Ard – Aye;
Charles Gilmore – Aye;
Rex Ball – Aye;
Dusty Peck – Nay;
Bob Winchester – Nay; &
Karen Rogers – Was not present during this vote.
The motion was **Approved by Majority by members present and voting.**

Another motion took place. Mr. Winchester made a motion to deny Mr. Skidmore’s application under New Construction due to lack of information. Mr. Fritz seconded.

Roll Call Vote to Deny Mr. Skidmore’s application under New Construction:
Chairman Turner – Nay to Deny;
Vice-Chairman Breed – Nay to Deny;
Secretary O’Neal – Nay to Deny;
Herb Fritz – Aye;
Chip Ard – Nay to Deny;
Charles Gilmore – Nay to Deny;
Rex Ball – Nay to Deny;
Dusty Peck – Aye;
Bob Winchester – Aye; &
Karen Rogers – Was not present during this vote.
The motion **Failed to Deny by members present and voting.**

Mr. Skidmore submitted to the Commission more detailed information on the New Construction project for its review.

Another motion took place. Mr. Ball made a motion to approve Mr. Skidmore’s application for New Construction from the plans dated 11-16-2006 with the following conditions that:

- The existing chimney will be extended up to the new roof on left (east) elevation; &
- To accept the materials that are noted on the detailed drawings.
Mr. Gilmore seconded.
Roll Call Vote to Approve Mr. Skidmore’s application under New Constructions w/conditions:
Chairman Turner – Aye;
Vice-Chairman Breed – Aye;
Secretary O’Neal – Aye;
Herb Fritz – Nay;
Chip Ard – Aye;
Charles Gilmore – Aye;
Rex Ball – Aye;
Dusty Peck – Nay;
Bob Winchester – Aye; &
Karen Rogers – Was not present during this vote.
The motion was Approved by Majority by members present and voting.

Mr. Ball stated for the record that he will not do this anymore and that the TPC is not setting a precedent by changing to new construction guidelines because this is a special case and the demolition permit was granted erroneously. Mr. Skidmore thanked the Commission for their time and efforts in this matter.

9. 1132 N. Cheyenne Ave. (Brady Heights)
   Applicants: Kevin & Mary Hall
   Request: Replace existing windows with double-paned windows.
   Subcommittee Complete Application Date: 11-7-06

Mr. Sharrer presented Kevin & Mary Hall’s Certificate of Appropriateness application to the commission. Photographs and drawings were available for review and a slide presentation was shown of the historic home in Brady Heights.

Mr. Sharrer stated that the Halls plan to replace 26 existing wood windows on the structure with double-paned vinyl windows. Mr. Sharrer stated that Mr. Hall has 19 viewable windows from the street; and 4 windows that are unsalvageable. He stated that he believes fifteen (15) viewable windows from the street are repairable; and that only the 4 destroyed vinyl windows should be replaced because the remainder of the windows are the original wood and are salvageable.

Mr. Sharrer read the appropriate design guidelines for this proposal for Restoring Existing Structures for the Brady Heights Historic District.

Chairman Turner asked Vice-Chairman Breed to please announce the COA Subcommittee’s recommendation(s) for the Hall’s proposal. Vice-Chairman Breed stated that the COA Subcommittee did not vote on the Hall’s application; and forwarded it to the TPC without a recommendation.
Chairman Turner opened the floor to the commission for discussion. After a brief discussion took place, Mr. Ball made a motion to approve Mr. Hall’s application with conditions:

◊ Upon the approval of the vinyl windows with applied muntins to the exterior of the windows to match the existing “jailhouse” pattern. New windows will be four-over-one, five-over-one, or six-over-one to match the specific window they are replacing.

Mr. Peck seconded.

**Roll Call Vote to Approve the Hall’s application w/conditions:**
Chairman Turner – Aye;
Vice-Chairman Breed – Aye;
Secretary O’Neal – Aye;
Herb Fritz – Nay;
Chip Ard – Aye;
Charles Gilmore – Aye;
Rex Ball – Aye;
Dusty Peck – Aye;
Bob Winchester – Aye; &
Karen Rogers – Was not present during this vote.
The motion was **Approved by Majority by members present and voting.**

**The Tulsa Preservation Commission Approved Kevin & Mary Hall’s proposal based on Windows & Doors, Second Preference, #1 & #2. for Restoring Existing Structures for the Brady Heights District.**

The Tulsa Preservation Commission lost its regular and professional quorum having a total attendance of six (6) members. No further business was discussed and the meeting was adjourned.